|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |
|---|---|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary  | 09/613,006  | SCHENA, MARK A.  |
|   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |
|   | BJ Forman   | 1634   |
| All Participants:   | Status of Application:  |  |
| (1) <u>BJ Forman</u> .  | (3)   |  |
| (2) <u>Shantanu Basu</u> .  | (4)   |  |
| Date of Interview: 2 July 2004  | Time:   |  |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:   | ant's representative)   |  |
| Part I.   |   |  |
| Rejection(s) discussed:   |   |  |
| Claims discussed: 28  Prior art documents discussed:  |   |  |
| Part II.  |   |  |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE<br>See Continuation Sheet  | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA   | AS DISCUSSED:  |
| Part III.   |   |  |
| <ul> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul> | ne examiner will provide a write record of the substance of the | tten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview |
| BJ FORMAN, PH.D.  |   |  |
| PRIMARY EXAMINER  |   |  |
| (F : (ODE 0) :  | 4/A - 1:  | Dignature if appropriate)                                      |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar  | t/Applicant's Representative S                                  | oignature – ir appropriate)                                    |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested amendments to provide a nexus between the preamble and final method step i.e. change, location to locationS and change individual to individualS. The examiner further suggested amending "smaller than about" to "smaller than or about" thereby overcoming an issue of indefiniteness. Mr. Basu agreed to the amendments.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |
|---|--|---|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary  | 09/613,006   | SCHENA, MARK A.   |
|   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |
|   | BJ Forman  | 1634  |
| All Participants:   | Status of Application:   |   |
| (1) <u>BJ_Forman</u> .  | (3)  |   |
| (2) <u>Shantanu Basu</u> .  | (4)  |   |
| Date of Interview: 6 July 2004  | Time:  |   |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:  | cant's representative)   |   |
| Part I.   | •  |   |
| Rejection(s) discussed:   |  |   |
| Claims discussed: 28  Prior art documents discussed:  |  |   |
| Part II.  |  |   |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE  |  |   |
| Mr Basu was informed that the "less than or about 1 millimeter" were discussed to define the area as disclosed. However, and  | is not supported by the specifications in the specification agreement was not reached. | cation. Numerous amendments   |
| Part III.   |  |   |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summation of all issues. A brief summation of all issues.</li> <li>PRIMARY EXAMINER</li> </ul> | ne examiner will provide a value record of the substance of                            | written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar  | nt/Applicant's Representative  | e Signature – if appropriate)                                       |